

Disinhibition: A common neural circuit for pain and social inhibition

John A. King,^{a,*} R. James R. Blair,^b Derek G.V. Mitchell,^b
Raymond J. Dolan,^c and Neil Burgess^{a,*}

^aLondon School of Economics and Political Science, London, UK; ^bUniversity of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK; ^cUCL, London, UK

Received 8 July 2005; revised 28 September 2005; accepted 5 October 2005

Human pain is a complex phenomenon that is influenced by both physical and social factors. A failure of inhibition can lead to social disinhibition and is a feature of disinhibition, such as in the case of disinhibition. We investigated the neural basis of inhibition using a computerized game played by 12 healthy volunteers in an fMRI scanner. The condition involved a decision-making task where participants had to choose between a social and a non-social option. The results showed that the same neural circuitry was involved in both social and non-social inhibition. Specifically, the amygdala and the medial prefrontal cortex were activated when participants chose between a social and a non-social option. These findings indicate a common neural circuitry for both social and non-social inhibition.

responses in healthy individuals: an fMRI study reporting frontal involvement in the expression of imagined aggressive behavior (Pietrini et al., 2000). Relatively little previous work has

We compared the neural response to shooting assailants and healing casualties (the appropriate behavior conditions within our video game-like context) with the neural response to matched conditions of healing assailants and shooting casualties (inappropriate behaviors within our context). Significant activations in this comparison (summarized in [Fig. 2](#), see Materials and methods)



It is important to note that the involvement of the amygdala-

response might also be a consequence of the engagement of the participant's emotional response and amygdala activation. Although memory was not tested in the current study, this explanation would predict that this hippocampal activity would be reflected in improved recall for these events (Cahill, 2000; Hamann, 2001; Hamann et al., 1999).

In conclusion, our results suggest that the expression of context-appropriate behavior in healthy participants is guided by a common neural system including the amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex. These data support suggestions that dysfunction in this system underlies the presentation of inappropriate social behavior in some individuals (Blair and Cipolotti, 2000; Damasio, 1994; Davidson et al., 2000; Grafman et al., 1996). The paradigm presented here provides a way to begin to investigate the neural bases of socially appropriate behavior, how they fail in conditions such as psychopathy, and how this system is affected by manipulation of the (virtual) contexts encountered, or of the prior experience or pharmacological state of the subject.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Uta Frith for helpful discussions during the preparation of the manuscript and Tom Hartley for assistance in preparation of figures. This work was supported by the Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust, UK.

References

- Amaral, D.G., 2002. The primate amygdala and the neurobiology of social behavior: implications for understanding social anxiety. *Biol. Psychiatry* 51, 11–17.
- Amaral, D.G., Price, J.L., 1984. Amygdalo-cortical projections in the monkey (*Macaca mulatta*). *J. Comp. Neurol.* 230, 465–496.
- Anderson, S.W., Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., Damasio, A.R., 1999. Impairment of social and moral behaviour related to early damage in human prefrontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 1032–1037.
- Aron, A.R., Robbins, T.W., Poldrack, R.A., 2004. Inhibition and the right inferior frontal cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 8, 170–177.
- Berthoz, S., Armony, J., Blair, R.J.R., Dolan, R., 2002. Neural correlates of violation of social norms and embarrassment. *Brain* 125, 1696–1708.
- Blair, R.J.R., 2003. Neurobiological basis of psychopathy. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 182, 5–7.
- Blair, R.J., 2004. The roles of orbital frontal cortex in the modulation of antisocial behavior. *Brain Cogn.* 55, 198–208.
- Blair, R.J.R., Cipolotti, L., 2000. Impaired social response reversal: a case of “acquired sociopathy”. *Brain* 123, 1122–1141.
- Brett, M., Nichols, T., Andersson, A., Wager, T., Poline, J.B., 2004. When is a conjunction not a conjunction? (Poster Presented at the 10th International Conference on Functional Mapping of the Human Brain). *NeuroImage*, 22.
- Burgess, N., Maguire, E.A., Spiers, H.J., O'Keefe, J., 2001. A temporoparietal and prefrontal network for retrieving the spatial context of lifelike events. *NeuroImage* 14, 439–453.
- Cahill, L., 2000. Neurobiological mechanisms of emotionally influenced, long-term memory. *Prog. Brain Res.* 126, 29–37.
- Craig, A.D., 2003. Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 13, 500–505.
- Critchley, H.D., Mathias, C.J., Dolan, R.J., 2001. Neural activity in the human brain relating to uncertainty and arousal during anticipation. *Neuron* 29, 537–545.
- Damasio, A.R., 1994. *Descartes' Error: Emotion, Rationality and the Human Brain*. Putnam (Grosset Books), New York.
- Davidson, R.J., Putnam, K.M., Larson, C.L., 2000. Dysfunction in the neural circuitry of emotion regulation—a possible prelude to violence. *Science* 289, 591–594.
- Dolcos, F., LaBar, K.S., Cabeza, R., 2004. Interaction between the amygdala and the medial temporal lobe memory system predicts better memory for emotional events. *Neuron* 42, 855–863.
- Emery, N.J., Amaral, D.G., 2000. The role of the amygdala in primate social cognition. In: Lane, R.D., Nadel, L. (Eds.), *Cognitive Neuroscience of Emotion*. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, pp. 156–191.
- Everitt, B.J., Cardinal, R.N., Hall, J., Parkinson, J.A., Robbins, T.W., 2000. Differential involvement of amygdala subsystems in appetitive conditioning and drug addiction. In: Aggleton, J.P. (Ed.), *The Amygdala: A Functional Analysis*. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, pp. 289–310.

- memories depends on amygdala and hippocampus and their interactions. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 278–285.
- Rilling, J., Gutman, D., Zeh, T., Pagnoni, G., Berns, G., Kilts, C., 2002. A neural basis for social cooperation. *Neuron* 35, 395–405.
- Singer, T., Seymour, B., O’Doherty, J., Kaube, H., Dolan, R.J., Frith, C.D., 2004. Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. *Science* 303, 1157–1162.
- Suzuki, W.A., Amaral, D.G., 1990. Cortical inputs to the CA1 field of the monkey hippocampus originate from the perirhinal and parahippocampal cortex but not from area TE. *Neurosci. Lett.* 115, 43–48.
- Whalen, P.J., Shin, L.M., McInerney, S.C., Fischer, H., Wright, C.I., Rauch, S.L., 2001. A functional MRI study of human amygdala responses to facial expressions of fear versus anger. *Emotion* 1, 70–83.