

I can't do maths, yet! The impact of process praise on maths mindset and effort

Author: Dr Juliet O'Callaghan



Introduction

Carol Dweck's 'theories of intelligence' proposes that different core beliefs about intelligence can set up different patterns of response to challenge and setbacks.



Previous research has shown that even when pupils on both ends of the continuum show equal intellectual ability, their theories of intelligence shape their response to academic challenge (Aronson, Fried and Good, 2002).

Furthermore, a person's theory of intelligence appears to be unrelated to the value they place on a particular skill set e.g. mathematics. Rattan et al (2012) analysed undergraduates' sense of belonging, enjoyment and usefulness of maths and revealed maths was equally valued by participants with different theories of maths intelligence.

Impact of praise

According to Haimovitz and Corpus (2011) teachers who use praise which focuses on traits and abilities reinforce intelligence as fixed and unchanging (entity theory). This in turn leads to decreased motivation, engagement and effort. The effects of praise on pupils' effort and attainment is therefore greater in subjects where pupils regularly experience difficulties and failures such as maths.


Research questions

RQ1 - Do pupils in Year 4, 5, and 6 who are exposed to daily process praise show a higher growth mindset in maths when compared with a waitlist control?

RQ2 - Do pupils in Year 4, 5, and 6 who are exposed to daily process praise achieve a higher effort grade in maths when compared with a waitlist control?


RQ3 - For pupils in Year 4, 5 and 6 is there a positive relationship between theories of intelligence, as measured by maths mindset scale, and the value placed on maths, as measured by belonging and usefulness scales?

Methods



Pre and post measures

Maths mindset B(d)4.39.00(i)3.6Q2.1(r)21 5937.4hs



Effort



Value of maths



Procedure and fidelity

Phase	Description
Planning	The teacher decides at the start of the day which part of the maths lesson they will count process praise instances.
Equipment	The teacher has a gold counter gives to them.

The fidelity check involved the researcher agreeing with the teacher to come into the maths lesson and complete the process praise count at the same time as the teacher. Further fidelity checks involved the researcher receiving daily praise count data through the Google form for each participating teacher.

For research questions 1 and 2 a mixed analysis of variance (ANOVA) was